



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Icarus 180 (1) 277-280 (2006)

ICARUS

[www.elsevier.com/locate/icarus](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/icarus)

CORRECTED PROOFS

## Early Tides: Response to Varga et al.

Richard Lathe\*

*Pieta Research, P.O. Box 27069, Edinburgh EH10 5YW, UK*

Received 15 March 2005; revised 8 July 2005

**Abstract**

Tidal cycling has been causally implicated at the origin of life, but the speed of early tides has not been established. The rotation period of the Earth is the dominant parameter, and a length of day (LOD) of under 6 h at 3.9 Ga was inferred by regression from present values [Lathe, R. 2004. *Icarus* 168, 18–22]. However, this would imply critical lunar proximity at that time; in their commentary Varga et al. instead argue for a more distant Moon, proposing LOD = 16.8 h. The debate is accentuated because regression from current values requires an Earth–Moon juxtaposition at around 2 Ga, for which there is no evidence. A smooth retreat from a Moon-forming impact at 4.5 Ga is also irreconcilable with the weight of paleotidal evidence. An inflection in the lunar recession curve is required to reconcile current and recent Earth–Moon values with a 4.5 Ga origin, requiring a change in tidal friction during the evolution of the Earth–Moon system. Depending on whether this took place at ~2–2.5 Ga before present, or more recently (~0.8–0.2 Ga), LOD values are estimated at between 12 and 16 h, suggesting a compromise figure of LOD = ~14 h, with tides every ~7 h, at 3.9 Ga.

© 2005 Published by Elsevier Inc.

*Keywords:* Cycling; Earth; Moon; Origin of Life; Tide**1. Introduction**

The rate and extent of marine tides at the origin of life (estimated but not so far confirmed (Moorbath, 2005; Lepland et al., 2005) to be at ca. 3.9 Ga or even slightly before (Battistuzzi et al., 2004) is an important issue for theories concerning the emergence of replicating biomolecules (Blum, 1957; Bernal, 1961; Lathe, 2004; Lathe, 2005). The commentary of Varga et al., (2005) is welcomed because it reawakens a long-standing debate (e.g., Kerr, 1983) concerning the dynamics of the early Earth–Moon system and the rapidity of tidal cycling. The central issue concerns the length of day (LOD) and the proximity and orbital period of the early Moon. Fast terrestrial rotation and lunar orbitation has been associated with the event that produced the Moon, ascribed to a large impact at 4.5 Ga or before (Hartmann and Davis, 1975; Cameron and Ward, 1976; Canup and Asphaug, 2001). If true, one must presume that the Moon has now receded from the Earth, with concomitant slowing of the rotation rates of both bodies.

Simulations of the Moon-forming impact event suggest that, immediately following the collision, the rotation period of the Earth must have been short (in the order of 4–7 h), to avoid rapid loss of the nascent Moon through inward tidal decay (Canup and Agnor, 1999), and as fast as 2.5 h in some models (Canup et al., 1999). Tidal friction between the Earth and Moon then requires deceleration of the spin rates of both planet and satellite, slowing of the Moon's orbital period, and recession of the Moon ('despinning'). All these parameters are believed to evolve in parallel, and terrestrial LOD is a rough proxy for lunar rotation, lunar orbital period, and Earth–Moon distance. Early daylength can be therefore estimated by regression from recent data points pertaining to LOD and Earth–Moon distance: for example, G.H. Darwin obtained a terrestrial rotation period under 6 h at the expulsion of the Moon (Darwin, 1879).

A regression plot of LOD (obtained from current and paleontological data) versus time, that pointed to a short LOD (2–6 h) at the origin of life (Lathe, 2004), has been challenged by Varga et al. (2005). In my paper the plot was explicitly intended to illustrate rather than define the brevity of early LOD; it was stated that "a linear relationship between these two parameters is implausible," and the regression "cannot place error limits on the

\* Fax: +44 131 466 8311.

E-mail address: [rlathe@pieta-research.org](mailto:rlathe@pieta-research.org).

early rotation rate, the individual values plotted are estimates, and linear extrapolations unsatisfactory.” The only conclusion drawn was that early rotation “may have been rapid” (Lathe, 2004). I am in agreement with Varga et al. that the inferred rotation rate at the origin of life (LOD 2–6 h) is fast, and perhaps even implausibly so. However, equally implausible values are generated by regression plots of Earth–Moon distance. These are important issues. To put the debate on a firmer footing the striking inconsistency between values obtained by regression and what is known of the history of the early Earth is revisited.

## 2. A conflict of evidence

Two different but equally robust sets of data conflict. The weight of geological and biological evidence firmly points to surface water and stable terrestrial evolution for the last 4 Ga or more. Stable evolution is also true of the Moon: dating of lunar surface rocks yields values from 3.1–3.8 Ga in impact maria with fragments of highland breccias dating to 4.3 Ga. A recent meteorite of lunar origin has been accurately dated to an impact event taking place on the Moon’s surface at 3.9 Ga (Gnos et al., 2004). A lunar surface has existed for at least the last 3.9 to 4.3 Ga, consistent with joint origin of the Earth–Moon pair at around 4.5 Ga.

This evidence conflicts with regression from empirical data pertaining to lunar distance and LOD over the last 1–2 Ga. Precise measurement of present day lunar recession obtained by laser ranging (Dickey et al., 1994) has given a figure of 3.82 cm/yr, a value consistent with recent decay rates of the Earth–Moon system inferred from regular laminations in paleobiological and paleogeological residua (fossil corals, bivalves, stromatolites, and notably tidalites; see Panella, 1975; Scrutton, 1978; Lambeck, 1978; Lambeck, 1980; Vanyo and Awramik, 1982; Sonett et al., 1996; Williams, 1997; Kvale et al., 1999; Williams, 2000). These combined data point to steady and predictable evolution of daylength and Earth–Moon distance over the last 1–2 Ga.

The conflict arises because the current recession rate is too fast. Simulations require that recession and orbital decay are fastest at earliest time points, when the Moon was closest to the Earth, with more sluggish decay closest to present. On this basis, mathematical regression of current and recent data provides implausible values for the dynamics of the Earth–Moon system. “The pace of tidal evolution for the past 450 Myr implies an Earth–Moon collision some 1500–2000 MyrBP, an event for which there is no corroborating evidence” (Walker and Zahnle, 1986). A similar conclusion is reached by (Kvale et al., 1999), while Williams (2000) states “the implications of employing the present rate of tidal energy dissipation are catastrophic.” Cataclysmic proximity of the two bodies at around 2 Ga is inconsistent with the physical evidence.

To reconcile these data one might attempt to question the overall reliability of the paleotidal and paleorotational data on the grounds that values for fossil growth increments are approximations (Scrutton, 1978), tidalite and fossil data are largely unvalidated (Mazumder and Arima, 2005), and the uncertainties are too great to permit any reasonable estimate of earliest

parameters of the Earth–Moon system (Williams, 2000). But, despite these caveats, data over the last 1 Ga are broadly consistent with the present fast recession rate of the Moon (Kvale et al., 1999). Together these recent data constitute a robust body of evidence (see Williams, 2000), and one not easily open to challenge.

## 3. Fitting the data

Two different approaches address this inconsistency. First, the recent LOD data are adapted to fit the end-points (current LOD and recession rate *versus* the formation of the Moon in a single giant impact at 4.5 Ga). Varga et al. (2005) revisit the four different scenarios depicted in Fig. 15 of Williams (2000) where three of four regression curves for the Earth–Moon distance retrodict catastrophic proximity between 1.5 and 3 Ga. They focus only on the fourth curve because “only scenario #4 is acceptable on geological grounds” (Varga et al., 2005).

Dwelling on this fourth curve of Williams, a LOD of 16.8 h at the origin of life was retrodicted (Varga et al., 2005). However, this curve sets itself apart, not only because it is inconsistent with other data (and is the only curve that removes the Earth–Moon event back to 4.5 Ga), but also because it is centrally based on the age of the Weeli Wolli banded-iron formation, a unit now interpreted as having been deposited from a submarine hydrothermal system rather than explicitly from tide-dependent marine sediments. The meaning of the Weeli Wolli cyclicity is debatable, indeed the diverse tidal and non-tidal interpretations enjoy timescales that differ by up to 3 orders of magnitude. Its use in a regression curve was for demonstration purposes (Williams, 2000).

A second approach is to infer an inflection or phase-change during the evolution of the Earth–Moon pair. One wonders if the moon could have decayed in two phases. Complex dynamical considerations point to unstable resonances with discrete phase changes (Touma and Wisdom, 1998). Krasinsky (2002), attempting to resolve the paradox through planetary dynamics, and extrapolating from the same fossil and tidalite data, suggested that decay to about 2 Ga could have been slow, followed by an abrupt change to more rapid decline, thereafter consistent with present day values. In this paper rapid early orbitation of the Moon was also discussed, and a terrestrial rotation period of just over 4 h (with a lunar month of the same magnitude) was proposed for 1.8 Ga.

This is also fraught with some difficulty. A reviewer of the present paper affirms that tidal forces are such that, given known parameters, it is impossible to “store” the Moon for up to 1 Ga any closer to the Earth than  $\sim 30$  Earth radii (current distance,  $\sim 60$  radii) for any plausible value of tidal dissipation for a solid body, a point endorsed by Varga et al. (2005), though not seen as insurmountable by Krasinsky (2002). Further, the referee advises that the rate of recession of the Moon at close distances is so fast that the Moon must, from what we know, have been at least 30 radii away from the Earth by the origin of life ascribed to 3.9 Ga.

Compromise solutions could be to infer that, for the most part of the Moon’s life, tidal friction and orbital decay were

58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114

1 significantly slower than today. One reviewer focuses discus- 58  
2 sion: “there is general agreement among workers in this field 59  
3 that the rate of lunar recession must have been lower during the 60  
4 Archean and early Proterozoic than during later eons.” Another 61  
5 reviewer writes “the current rate of evolution of the lunar orbit 62  
6 cannot have persisted for even 2 Ga; it must have been slower 63  
7 in the past.” Reduced tidal friction seems likely, but no expla- 64  
8 nation of the rate change was advanced. 65  
9

#### 10 4. Mechanism and timing of tidal friction changes 66

11  
12 The consensus is that tidal friction must have been signif- 67  
13 icantly lower than today. However, both LOD and the rate of 68  
14 early tides will depend on the timing of the recession rate in- 69  
15 crease. In definitive overview, Williams (2000) argues for a 70  
16 fairly recent change, and estimated that the mean rate of lun- 71  
17 ar recession over the last 2.5 Ga could lie between 1.24 and 72  
18 2.17 cm/yr (in contrast to the current value of 3.82 cm/yr), 73  
19 pointing to very substantially reduced tidal friction in the early 74  
20 part of this period. In this paper it was proposed that a recent 75  
21 increase in recession rates could have been driven by increas- 76  
22 ing oceanic tidal dissipation with changes in tidal resonances. 77  
23 Lambeck (1980), Sündermann (1982), and Brosche (1984) pre- 78  
24 viously suggested that near-resonance of oceanic free modes 79  
25 and tidal frequencies with recent continent dispersal (~0.2 Ga 80  
26 before present) may have produced an increase in tidal friction, 81  
27 while Kvale et al. (1999) suggest that both the assembly and 82  
28 the break-up of the Pangea supercontinent is likely to have affected 83  
29 the spin rate of the Earth. Global glaciation (snowball Earth) 84  
30 at 0.73–0.58 Ga may have contributed. Together, these could 85  
31 point to changes in tidal friction in the approximate period be- 86  
32 tween 0.8 and 0.2 Ga before present, and indicate (by graphical 87  
33 regression) a LOD of around 16 h at 3.9 Ga, close to the value 88  
34 advocated by Varga et al. 89

35 A problem with this scenario is that the early Earth is thought 90  
36 to have been rather hotter and more fluid than today: higher 91  
37 mantle temperature and a thinner lithosphere would have led to 92  
38 increased dissipation within the solid Earth (Lambeck, 1980), 93  
39 inevitably leading to higher (and not lower) tidal friction, and 94  
40 this consideration could require a more radical change in tidal 95  
41 friction at some time in the Earth’s history. 96

42 There is evidence for marked upheavals at the terrestrial 97  
43 surface, so far unexplained, in the period immediately prior 98  
44 to 2 Ga. These include the Shunga event at around 2 Ga 99  
45 (Melezhik et al., 2003) and an abrupt period of mantle over- 100  
46 turn or intense plume activity at 2.45 (Kump et al., 2001). 101  
47 There is widespread evidence for intense global glaciation at 102  
48 around 2.45–2.22 Ga that is not found prior to 2.5 Ga or af- 103  
49 ter 2 Ga, until reappearing more recently at ~600 Ma (Evans 104  
50 et al., 1997; Kirschvink et al., 2000). Significant and sometimes 105  
51 quite exceptional fluctuations in isotope ratios include carbon 106  
52 at 2.11–2.25 Ga (Lomagundi or Jatulian event) (Baker and 107  
53 Fallick, 1989; Aharon, 2005), sulfur at 2–2.45 (Farquhar and 108  
54 Wing, 2003), strontium at 2.3–2.6 Ga (Kuznetsov et al., 2003; 109  
55 Mirota and Veizer, 1994), with a major discontinuity in oxy- 110  
56 gen isotopes at 2.5 Ga (Knauth, 2005). Although biomass ac- 111  
57 cumulation could contribute (Melezhik et al., 1997), the exact 112  
113  
114

cause(s) of these upheavals are unknown. Though the upheavals 58  
appear to have taken place over a protracted period, it was sug- 59  
gested that conditions were extraordinary; the possibility of 60  
a catastrophic event not yet understood was also considered 61  
(Melezhik and Fallick, 1996). One notes that isotope shift is as- 62  
sociated with the K/T event, but the magnitude of the changes 63  
taking place in the 2–2.5 Ga timeframe (reviewed by Melezhik 64  
et al., 1999) could indicate combined events greater by at least 65  
an order of magnitude. Such further events are not inconsistent 66  
with what is known of the evolution of the Earth–Moon system, 67  
and could suggest a major change in tidal friction occurred at 68  
between 2.5 and 2 Ga before present; this would point to a LOD 69  
at 3.9 Ga in slight excess of 50% of its current value. 70

#### 71 5. Conclusion 72

73  
74 Very short lengths of day (LODs) at 3.9 Ga, under 6 h by 75  
76 regression (Lathe, 2004), or 4 h by orbital shifting (Krasinsky, 76  
77 2002), are held to be implausible as they would imply critically 77  
78 unstable Earth–Moon proximity at that time. Nevertheless, the 78  
79 estimated LOD, 16.8 h, advocated by Varga et al. (2005) omits 79  
80 the uncertainties acknowledged by Williams (2000) and is se- 80  
81 lective in the empirical data it considers. Instead the data appear 81  
82 most consistent with a significant change in tidal friction and an 82  
83 inflection in the lunar recession curve during evolution of the 83  
84 Earth–Moon system. Although it is impossible to afford any 84  
85 precise or accurate estimate, a change in tidal friction could 85  
86 point to a LOD at the origin of life somewhere between 12 and 86  
87 16 h, a little lower than the 16.8 h figure advocated by Varga 87  
88 et al. (2005). These figures are compatible with values advo- 88  
89 cated by a referee of the present paper who argued that the 89  
90 Moon must have been at least 30 Earth radii away at 3.9 Ga, 90  
91 with a LOD of at least 9–12 h (and a diurnal tidal height of not 91  
92 more than ~10 times the present height). 92

93 Many of the concerns of Varga et al. (2005) are therefore 93  
94 accepted, but further complexities and uncertainties are em- 94  
95 phasised. As Touma and Wisdom (1998) state, the richness of 95  
96 the dynamics of the Earth–Moon system is far from being ex- 96  
97 hausted. I wrote earlier: “The only reliable conclusion is that 97  
98 early rotation may have been rapid” (Lathe, 2004) and suggest 98  
99 here that with limited data it is unsafe to attempt to retrodict 99  
100 relative rotation at 3.9 Ga with any accuracy. 100

101 All the evidence points to a shorter LOD, a closer Moon, 101  
102 and faster lunar rotation at the origin of life: we cannot escape 102  
103 the conclusion that early tides were higher and more frequent 103  
104 than today. The timing of the origin of life remains uncertain: 104  
105 some of the most compelling DNA sequence evidence points 105  
106 to an origin as early as 4.1 Ga (Battistuzzi et al., 2004); if 106  
107 so, this would further shorten the contemporary LOD to under 107  
108 12 h. It seems likely that no consensus will be obtained with- 108  
109 out further investigations to resolve these crucial issues. Until 109  
110 such time, it is suggested that biochemical simulations of early 110  
111 tides might adopt a compromise LOD of around 14 h, some- 111  
112 what greater than 50% of current values, and with tides every 112  
113 7 h, at the inferred origin of life, while recognising that this can 113  
114 be no more than an interim estimate based on incomplete and 114  
sometimes contradictory data.

## Acknowledgments

I am indebted to Varga et al. for raising this debate, to informed reviewers\* for key insights, and to Tony Prave for critical comments on the manuscript; Tony Fallick is thanked for further helpful suggestions.

\*including Alan W. Harris

## References

- Aharon, P., 2005. Redox stratification and anoxia of the early Precambrian oceans: Implications for carbon isotope excursions and oxidation events. *Precambrian Res.* 137, 207–222.
- Baker, A.J., Fallick, A.E., 1989. Evidence from Lewisian limestones for isotopically heavy carbon in two-thousand-million-year-old sea water. *Nature* 337, 352–354.
- Battistuzzi, F.U., Feijao, A., Hedges, S.B., 2004. A genomic timescale of prokaryote evolution: Insights into the origin of methanogenesis, phototrophy, and the colonisation of land. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 4, 44. #
- Bernal, J.D., 1961. The origin of life on the shores of the ocean: Physical and chemical conditions determining first appearance of biological processes. In: Sears, M. (Ed.), *Oceanography*. Am. Ass. Advmt. Sci., Washington, pp. 95–118.
- Blum, H.F., 1957. On the origin of self-replicating systems. In: Rudnick, D. (Ed.), *Rhythmic and Synthetic Processes in Growth*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, pp. 155–170.
- Brosche, P., 1984. Tidal friction in the Earth–Moon system. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London A313*, 71–75.
- Cameron, A.G.W., Ward, W.R., 1976. The origin of the Moon. *Lunar Sci. J.* 7, 120–122.
- Canup, R.M., Agnor, C.B., 1999. Accretion of the terrestrial planets and the Earth–Moon system. In: Canup, R.M., Righter, K. (Eds.), *Origin of the Earth and Moon*. Univ. of Arizona Press, Tucson, pp. 113–129.
- Canup, R.M., Asphaug, E., 2001. Origin of the Moon in a giant impact near the end of the Earth's formation. *Nature* 412, 708–712.
- Canup, R.M., Levison, H.F., Stewart, G.R., 1999. Evolution of a terrestrial multiple Moon system. *Astron. J.* 117, 603–620.
- Darwin, G.H., 1879. On the precession of a various spheroid, and on the remote history of the Earth. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London* 170, 447–538.
- Dickey, J.O., Bender, P.L., Faller, J.E., Newhall, X.X., Ricklefs, R.L., Ries, J.G., Shelus, P.J., Whipple, A.L., Wiant, J.R., Williams, J.G., Yoder, C.F., 1994. Lunar laser ranging: A continuing legacy of the Apollo program. *Science* 265, 482–490.
- Evans, D.A., Beukes, N.J., Kirschvink, J.L., 1997. Low-latitude glaciation in the Palaeoproterozoic era. *Nature* 386, 262–266.
- Farquhar, J., Wing, B.A., 2003. Multiple sulfur isotopes and the evolution of the atmosphere. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 213, 1–13.
- Gnos, E., Hofmann, B.A., Al Kathiri, A., Lorenzetti, S., Eugster, O., Whitehouse, M.J., Villa, I.M., Jull, A.J., Eikenberg, J., Spettel, B., Krahenbuhl, U., Franchi, I.A., Greenwood, R.C., 2004. Pinpointing the source of a lunar meteorite: Implications for the evolution of the Moon. *Science* 305, 657–659.
- Hartmann, W.K., Davis, D.R., 1975. Satellite-sized planetesimals and lunar origin. *Icarus* 24, 504–515.
- Kerr, R.A., 1983. Where was the Moon eons ago? *Science* 221, 1166.
- Kirschvink, J.L., Gaidos, E.J., Bertani, L.E., Beukes, N.J., Gutzmer, J., Maepa, L.N., Steinberger, R.E., 2000. Paleoproterozoic snowball Earth: Extreme climatic and geochemical global change and its biological consequences. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 1400–1405.
- Knauth, L.P., 2005. Temperature and salinity history of the Precambrian ocean: Implications for the course of microbial evolution. *Palaeogeog. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 219, 53–69.
- Krasinsky, G.A., 2002. Dynamical history of the Earth–Moon system. *Celest. Mech. Dynam. Astron.* 84, 27–55.
- Kump, L.R., Kasting, J.F., Barley, M.E., 2001. Rise of atmospheric oxygen and the “upside-down” Archean mantle. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 2. Paper 2000GC000114.
- Kuznetsov, A.B., Melezhik, V.A., Gorokhov, I.M., Mel'nikov, N.N., Fallick, A.E., 2003. Sr isotope composition in paleoproterozoic carbonates extremely enriched in  $^{13}\text{C}$ : Kaniapiskau supergroup, the Labrador Trough of the Canadian shield. *Stratigr. Geol. Correl.* 11, 209–219.
- Kvale, E.P., Johnson, H.W., Sonett, C.P., Archer, A.W., Zawistoski, A., 1999. Calculating lunar retreat rates using tidal rhythmites. *J. Sediment. Res.* 69, 1154–1168.
- Lambeck, K., 1978. The Earth's paleorotation. In: Brosche, P., Sundermann, J. (Eds.), *Tidal Friction and the Earth's Rotation*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 145–153.
- Lambeck, K., 1980. *The Earth's Variable Rotation: Geophysical Causes and Consequences*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- Lathe, R., 2004. Fast tidal cycling and the origin of life. *Icarus* 168, 18–22.
- Lathe, R., 2005. Tidal chain reaction and the origin of replicating biopolymers. *Int. J. Astrobiol.* 4, 19–31.
- Lepland, A., van Zuilen, M.A., Arrhenius, G., Whitehouse, M.J., Fedo, C.M., 2005. Questioning the evidence for Earth's earliest life: Akilia revisited. *Geology* 33, 77–79.
- Mazumder, R., Arima, M., 2005. Tidal rhythmites and their implications. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 69, 79–95.
- Melezhik, V.A., Fallick, A.E., 1996. A widespread positive  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (carb) anomaly at around 2.33–2.06 Ga on the Fennoscandian Shield: A paradox? *Terra Nova* 8, 141–157.
- Melezhik, V.A., Fallick, A.E., Semikhatov, M.A., 1997. Could stromatolite-forming cyanobacteria have influenced the global carbon cycle at 2300–2060 Ma? *NGU Bull.* 433, 30–31.
- Melezhik, V.A., Fallick, A.E., Medvedev, P.V., Makarikin, V.V., 1999. Extreme  $^{13}\text{C}$ (carb) enrichment in ca. 2.0 Ga magnetite–stromatolite–dolomite–red beds' association in a global context: A case for the world-wide signal enhanced by a local environment. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 48, 71–120.
- Melezhik, V.A., Filippov, M.M., Romashkin, A.E., 2003. Shunga Event—Was it a case of Palaeoproterozoic mass extinction? *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 67S, 286.
- Mirota, D.M., Veizer, J., 1994. Geochemistry of Precambrian carbonates. VI. Aphebian Albanel formations, Quebec, Canada. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 58, 1735–1745.
- Moorbath, S., 2005. Palaeobiology: Dating earliest life. *Nature* 434, 155.
- Panella, G., 1975. Paleontological clocks and the history of the Earth's rotation. In: Rosenberg, G.D., Runcorn, S.K. (Eds.), *Growth Rhythms and the History of the Earth's Rotation*. Wiley, London, pp. 253–284.
- Scrutton, C.T., 1978. Periodic growth features in fossil organisms and the length of the day and month. In: Brosche, P., Sundermann, J. (Eds.), *Tidal Friction and the Earth's Rotation*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 154–196.
- Sonett, C.P., Kvale, E.P., Zakharian, A., Chan, M.A., Dernko, T.M., 1996. Late proterozoic and paleozoic tides, retreat of the Moon, and rotation of the Earth. *Science* 273, 100–104.
- Sundermann, J., 1982. The resonance behaviour of the world ocean. In: Brosche, P., Sundermann, J. (Eds.), *Tidal Friction and the Earth's Rotation II*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 165–174.
- Touma, J., Wisdom, J., 1998. Resonances in the early evolution of the Earth–Moon system. *Astron. J.* 115, 1653–1663.
- Vanyo, J.P., Awramik, S.M., 1982. Length of day and obliquity of the ecliptic 850 MA ago: Preliminary results of a stromatolite growth model. *Geophys. Rev. Lett.* 9, 1125–1128.
- Varga, P., Rybicki, K.R., Denis, C., 2005. Comment on the paper “Fast tidal cycling and the origin of life.” *Icarus. This issue.*
- Walker, J.C., Zahnle, K.J., 1986. Lunar nodal tide and distance to the Moon during the Precambrian. *Nature* 320, 600–602.
- Williams, G.E., 1997. Precambrian length of day and the validity of tidal rhythmic paleotidal values. *Geophys. Rev. Lett.* 21, 421–424.
- Williams, G.E., 2000. Geological constraints on the precambrian history of Earth's rotation and the Moon's orbit. *Rev. Geophys.* 38, 37–59.